

Super-Specialization in Anesthesia: A Medical Imperative or Excess

Chauhan R*

Vardhman Mahavir Medical College, India

***Corresponding author:** Richa Chauhan, Vardhman Mahavir Medical College, Jaypee Greens Wish Town Noida Integrated City, India, Tel: 08527016051; Email: drrichsilverdust@gmail.com

Received Date: October 14, 2024; **Published Date:** November 25, 2024

Abstract

The field of anesthesiology has seen a rapid rise in super-specialization, with anesthesiologists pursuing further expertise in subspecialties like cardiac anesthesia, neuro anesthesia, pediatric anesthesia, pain management, critical care, and more. While the trend reflects advancements in medical science and technology, there is an ongoing debate over whether super-specialization in anesthesia is a necessity driven by patient care needs or simply an excess fuelled by increasingly specialized healthcare.

Keywords: Anesthesiology; Super-specialists; Critical Care; Lancet Commission

Introduction

The Case for Super-Specialization as a Medical Imperative

With the increasing complexity of surgeries, such as cardiothoracic surgeries, organ transplants, and neurosurgeries, anesthesiologists are required to have specialized knowledge and skills to manage high-risk patients and procedures.

Super-specialists in cardiac and neuroanesthesia bring expertise in managing specific pathophysiology, such as maintaining hemodynamic stability during cardiac procedures or intracranial pressure management during cranial procedures.

Rapid technological advances such as robotic surgery, minimally invasive procedures, and advanced imaging techniques require anesthesiologists to adapt their skills and knowledge. Super-specialization enables anesthesiologists to stay updated on specific methods and equipment used in these specialized areas.

Patients undergoing complex surgeries may have better outcomes when managed by anesthesiologists specializing in that field [1]. Critical care anesthesiologists bring expertise in mechanical ventilation, fluid management, imaging, and advanced life support techniques, contributing to better outcomes for patients in intensive care units [2].

Super-specialization also allows anesthesiologists to focus on research and innovation within their area of expertise, leading to the development of new techniques, drugs, and protocols that benefit the broader medical community.

The Argument for Super-Specialization as an Excess

Super-specialization can lead to fragmentation, where anesthesiologists focus narrowly on their subspecialty, potentially losing sight of broader essential clinical skills in diverse or unexpected situations. In some cases, this over-specialization may reduce flexibility, as a highly specialized anesthesiologist might be less equipped to handle routine cases outside their area of focus, such as a cardiac anesthesiologist managing a general surgical procedure.

Super-specialization often drives healthcare costs due to the need for highly specialized training, equipment, and facilities. These added costs may not significantly improve patient outcomes in more routine anesthesia cases. Furthermore, it can lead to increased referral times, delaying patient care in cases where a general anesthesiologist could have safely managed the case.

As a practical guideline, recommendations have suggested that 4–5 anesthesia providers per 100,000 people are a necessary minimum target for safe anesthesia provision at a population level [3]. India's anesthetic workforce has a density of approximately 1.27 physician anaesthesiologists per 100,000, [4] which highlights a significant shortage of trained providers. Moreover, their distribution is concentrated mainly in cities. This shortfall poses challenges for delivering safe anesthesia, particularly in rural and underserved areas, which may contribute to higher perioperative risks and limited access to surgical care.

The Lancet Commission on Global Surgery estimates that five billion people lack access to safe, affordable surgical care, with low-resource countries bearing the brunt [5]. The trend toward super-specialization can further this disparity in healthcare access, particularly in rural or resource-limited areas [6]. Maternal Mortality Rate in India was 103 per 100,000 in 2020, compared to 13 per 100,000 in developed countries, further reflecting these inequalities in access to quality health services [7]. General anesthesiologists are versatile practitioners who can adapt to diverse clinical settings. They have a broader reach in public health systems and are crucial in bridging this gap.

Super-specialization in anesthesia often requires several additional years of training, which can contribute to burnout. The prolonged time in training can also delay entry into the workforce, exacerbating shortages in general anesthesia care [8,9]. Team dependency, mainly on skilled surgeon increases for superspecialist anesthesiologists [10].

Furthermore, practitioners may find that the narrow scope of super-specialization limits their ability to practice a broader range of skills, potentially reducing their overall career satisfaction and career opportunities.

Shorter, intensive training programs allow the healthcare system to maximize the capabilities of its existing workforce rather than waiting for more superspecialized anesthesiologists to be trained.

Substantially, more resources are focused on patients undergoing superspecialized surgeries despite the greater risk of death after major general surgeries. Superspecialized surgery receives multidisciplinary team meetings to plan

care, advanced monitoring, and postoperative critical care admission as standard, whereas general surgery rarely benefits from such a care package. Therefore, an anesthesiologist pursuing the role of a perioperative physician is vital in determining the long-term outcome of a general surgical patient [11].

Anesthesiologists possess the expertise and experience needed to uphold safe healthcare. Thanks to their broad, versatile knowledge, anesthesiologists can quickly adapt to unexpected challenges. Furthermore, their willingness to take on non-traditional frontline roles as trusted physicians during COVID-19 pandemic reflects their competency in ensuring patient safety [12].

Although super-specialization may seem beneficial, particularly in managing complex cases, driving research, and adapting to technological advancements. Alongside, it raises pertinent concerns regarding workforce shortages, rural healthcare accessibility, and the potential for over-specialization to limit flexibility and increase healthcare costs.

Conclusion

Super-specialization can indeed provide critical expertise, especially in adapting to surgical advancements. However, India's current healthcare landscape highlights an indispensable need for broadly skilled anesthesiologists to ensure access and adaptability, especially in underserved areas.

References

1. Kuiper BI, Janssen L, Versteeg KS (2024) Does preoperative multidisciplinary team assessment of high-risk patients improve the safety and outcomes of patients undergoing surgery?. *BMC Anesthesiol* 24: 9.
2. (2024) Guidelines for the Practice of Critical care Medicine by anaesthesiologists. (1974, October 16). asahq.
3. Davies JI, Vreede E, Onajin-Obembe B, Morriss WW (2018) What is the minimum number of specialist anaesthetists needed in low-income and middle-income countries? *BMJ Glob Health* 3(6): e001005.
4. Kempthorne P, Morriss WW, Mellin-Olsen J, Gore-Booth J (2017) The WFSA Global Anesthesia Workforce Survey. *Anesth Analg* 125(3): 981-990.
5. Meara JG, Leather AJ, Hagander L (2015) Global surgery 2030: evidence and solutions for achieving health, welfare, and economic development. *Lancet* 386(9993):

- 569-624.
6. Griswold DP, Makoka MH, Gunn SWA, Johnson WD (2018) Essential surgery as a key component of primary health care: reflections on the 40th anniversary of Alma-Ata. *BMJ Glob Health* 3(Suppl 3): e000705.
 7. Misra S, Parida S, Chakravarthy M, Mehta Y, Puri GD (2021) A career in cardiac anaesthesia in India: The heart of the matter. *Indian J Anaesth* 65(1): 12-16.
 8. Nyssen AS, Hansez I (2008) Stress and burnout in anaesthesia. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol* 21(3): 406-411.
 9. Morais A, Maia P, Azevedo A, Amaral C, Tavares J (2006) Stress and burnout among Portuguese anaesthesiologists. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 23(5): 433-439.
 10. Grocott MPW (2012) Perioperative medicine: the future of anaesthesia? *British Journal of Anaesthesia* 108(5): 723-726.
 11. McCartney CJ, Mariano ER (2020) COVID-19: bringing out the best in anesthesiologists and looking toward the future. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 45: 586-588.
 12. Adams JM (2019) Opportunities Beyond the Anesthesiology Department: Broader Impact through Broader Thinking. *Anesth Analg*.