Commercial Albumin and The Mechanism of its Action
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Abstract

The advantages of albumin over less costly alternative fluids continue to be debated. Many scientific articles were devoted to the clinical analysis of the use of albumin in acute illness as well as its comparison with other fluid regimens. However, the lack of fundamental knowledge about the physical and chemical properties of commercial albumin generates many unpromising discussions about the effectiveness of the use of albumin among practitioners and medical scientists. The manuscript provides information about the different variants of commercial albumin, the mechanisms of their action, indications and contraindications to use. The presented information is based on fundamental knowledge of physical and chemical properties of commercial albumin. This manuscript is not only educational information, but also is guide to action for clinicians.
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Background

Human albumin (HA) or serum albumin is encoded by the ALB gene and is the most abundant plasma protein in mammals. Human albumin is essential for maintaining the osmotic pressure needed for proper distribution of body fluids between intravascular compartments and body tissues. Human albumin also acts as a plasma carrier by non-specifically binding several hydrophobic steroid hormones and as a transport protein for hemin and fatty acids. The advantages of albumin over less costly alternative fluids continue to be debated. Meta-analyses focusing on survival have been inconclusive, and other clinically relevant end-points have not been systematically addressed.

Database searches (MEDLINE, EMBASE, and Cochrane Library) and other methods were used to identify randomized controlled trials comparing albumin with crystalloid, artificial colloid, no albumin or lower-dose albumin. Major findings for all end-points were extracted and summarized [1,2]. Seventy-nine randomized trials with a total of 4755 patients were included. No significant treatment effects were detectable in 20/79 (25%) trials. In cardiac surgery, albumin administration resulted in lower fluid requirements, higher colloid oncotic pressure, reduced pulmonary edema with respiratory impairment and greater hemodilution compared with crystalloid and
hydroxyethylstarch increased postoperative bleeding. In non-cardiac surgery, fluid requirements, and pulmonary and intestinal edema were decreased by albumin compared with crystalloid. In hypoalbuminemia, higher doses of albumin reduced morbidity. In ascites, albumin reduced hemodynamic derangements, morbidity and length of stay and improved survival after spontaneous bacterial peritonitis. In sepsis, albumin decreased pulmonary edema and respiratory dysfunction compared with crystalloid, while hydroxyethylstarch induced abnormalities of hemostasis. Complications were lowered by albumin compared with crystalloid in burn patients. Albumin-containing therapeutic regimens improved outcomes after brain injury [3].

Neither benefit nor harm was shown when using HA to maintain hemodynamic stability in the perioperative period when compared with crystalloids or any other colloidal volume substitute [4-6].

In a recent study, the effects of crystalloids and colloids, including HA, on pulmonary edema in hypovolemic septic and non-septic patients with, or at risk of, acute lung injury/acute respiratory distress syndrome were assessed. Pulmonary edema and lung injury score were not affected by the type of fluid indicating that HA was not superior to cheaper alternatives [7].

In a cohort, multicentre, observational study of 3147 ICU patients, the use of HA in European ICUs and its relationship to outcome were assessed [8]. The indication for giving albumin was not specified (hypovolemia or hypoalbuminemia). Three hundred and fifty-four patients (11.2%) received albumin and 2793 patients (88.8%) did not. Albumin administration was associated with decreased survival in this population of acutely ill patients. An international prospective cohort study including 1013 ICU patients needing fluid resuscitation for shock documented that the use of hyperoncotic albumin (20% HA) was significantly associated with occurrence of negative renal events (two-fold increase in creatinine or need for dialysis) and an increased risk of death in ICU [9].

International guidelines for the management of severe sepsis and septic shock do not specifically recommend the use of HA for volume replacement for hemodynamic stabilization in this setting [10]. Shorter hospital stay and lower costs were shown when using hyperoncotic HA for correction of hypovolemia patients with liver disease. Two studies, which were more than 15 yr. old, reported reduced disability after using hyperoncotic albumin in brain injury. This review conflicts with negative results from large studies showing increased mortality after the use of HA in brain injury patients [11] and increased incidence of renal failure in ICU patients after 20% HA [9]. Despite these meta-analyses, it still remains unclear when to use HA. An evaluation in 53 hospitals in the USA showed that based on guidelines developed by the University Health System Consortium (UHC), HA was inappropriately used for 57.8% of adult patients and 52.2% of pediatric patients [12,13].

It is necessary to consider topical issues related to the fundamental knowledge for the effective and adequate use of albumin drugs in clinical practice. Before examine topic of methods, indications and contraindications the use albumin solutions it is necessary determine: what type albumin we using? Is it native or commercial types? What is their difference and is this knowledge important for the medical practitioner?

**Native albumin**

Albumins (lat.: albus, white) are simple water-soluble proteins. The molecule of albumin is an ellipse of 3×15 nm, (3.6 Nm) that consists of a single polypeptide chain. It’s a low molecular weight protein. The relative molecular weight of albumin is approximately 65000 Dt. Native albumin is synthesized in the liver at a rate of about 10-15 mg per day. The 1 g of native albumin carries 20 ml of water into the vessels. The scheme of hydrophobic protein-ligand binding is shown in Figure 1.

**Commercial Albumin**

Commercial albumin is a stabilized and pasteurized native albumin. To date, there is a problem of quantitative...
content of stabilizers and other additional substances in the commercial preparation of albumin. The main stabilizers of albumin, their role and influence on organism were presented in Figure.2 [14,15].

Figure 2 is shown that composition of the commercial solution of albumin contains the various preservatives and stabilizers. Among them, the main ones are N-acetyltryptophanate and sodium caprylate. N-acetyltryptophanate to protect the protein from oxidative stress and to stabilize it for heat treatment which is applied for virus inactivation. In addition, it is important to say that N-acetyltryptophan competes for active binding centers with bilirubin. Therefore, the amount of N-acetyltryptophanate affects the sorption capacity of stabilized albumin in relation to bilirubin. In the end this determines the efficacy application of albumin with the aim of detoxication in hyperbilirubinemia. Sodium caprylate is the second and mandatory preservative that provides temperature stability of albumin molecules during pasteurization. It is very important to note that sodium caprylate causes a large number of serious side effects, such as: hypoglycemia, hyperventilation, increased oxygen demand, decreased clearance of long-chain fatty acids in the liver, vascular dilation, changes in amino acid metabolism, reduced ammonia formation and metabolism, inhibition of DNA and RNA synthesis, changes in the permeability of endothelium and membranes, inhibition of platelet function, increased catabolism of muscle proteins, etc. In connection with the toxicity of sodium caprylate control over the quality of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) considers necessary to carry the index of its contents to the security settings of solutions of albumin.

It is also known that the binding capacity of commercial albumin is significantly lower than that of native albumin due to the congestion of its binding centers with stabilizers and other additional substances. The lack of control over the content of lipids and fatty acids at the stages of the technological process of albumin production affects its binding capacity and transport function. Therefore, as an additional indicator of the quality of albumin drugs, clinicians in many countries propose to introduce into the regulatory documentation a quantitative assessment of functional activity – binding capacity (binding capacity/sorption capacity).

Today companies which produce commercial albumin in different countries do not have a single approach to solving this problem. It follows from this those patients receiving albumin drugs on the background of drug treatment are exposed to high concentrations of free active substance. This naturally determines the risk of exceeding pharmacological and side effects for the patient, who directly threatens his life, and the detoxification function of commercial albumin is doubtful.
Also necessary aware that some medications can compete for binding centers in albumin molecule with bilirubin and among them. Only these facts are enough to not only take a critical look at the results of the study of domestic and foreign authors, based on the meta-analysis of the use of commercial albumin, but also with great caution to follow their recommendations regarding the universality of its methods of application, indications and contraindications.

The thoughtless and blind imposition by some domestic authors of "universal" foreign recommendations for the use of commercial albumin against the background of the lack of a single form of it is not only scientifically doubtful, but also clinically unsafe for the patient. For example, consider the action of two variants of commercial albumin (Figure 3).

In option 1 stabilizer block a small number of binding centers. In this case the reserve binding capacity of albumin remains high enough. This variant of stable albumin absorbs a significant amount of water. As a result, the hydrostatic pressure in the vessels increases. Therefore, to prevent the risk of interstitial edema...
(especially against the background of increased capillary permeability), after transfusion of albumin, it is recommended to immediately administer diuretics (saluretics at a dose of 20-60 mg/kg). This achieves antiedema and detoxification effects [16,17].

On the contrary, in Option 2 stabilizers block a large number of binding centers. Indicator the reserve binding capacity of albumin is low and the sorption of water molecules is insignificant. As a result, against the background of increased capillary permeability, albumin quickly leaves the vascular bed and passes into interstitial tissues, causing or increasing edema. In this connection, the preventive use of diuretics is ineffective [18-20].

To date, the lack of necessary knowledge about the properties of commercial albumin, its various variants, among doctors generates numerous discussions with diametrically opposed views on the method of its application.

Therefore, for effective and adequate use of albumin clinicians need to have the following data:

• the degree of hydrophobicity of toxemia;
• indicator of the reserve of albumin binding capacity;
• calculated index of intoxication.

Only on the basis of the above indicators can be estimated:

• transport function of albumin;
• detoxification function of the liver;
• degree of blocking of albumin binding centers;
• In combination with hydrophilic indicators of endotoxemia in dynamics, to estimate the degree of endotoxosis, the effectiveness of hemocorrection and detoxification methods.

For effective use of albumin as an endogenous source of amino acids, the following conditions are necessary:

• No circulating blood volume (CBV) deficit.
• The absence of diseases that disorder the process of absorption and enzymatic activity of the stomach.
• Preservation of function protein synthesis in the liver.

When albumin is not used, or its use is not justified

• In conditions of increased capillary permeability albumin very quickly leave the vascular bed and captures water, causing interstitial edema into the different tissues.
• It is not recommended to use solutions of albumin in chronic nephrosis, because albumin is quickly excreted by the kidneys.

• The use transfusion of album as a source of protein in patients suffering from intestinal malabsorption, chronic pancreatitis, chronic liver cirrhosis, body weight deficit after fasting, serious CBV deficiency is not justified.
• It should also be known that the banal transfusion of protein preparations in the multiple organ dysfunction syndromes does not correct hypoproteinemia due to violations in the physiological chain of protein synthesis. Therefore, the doctor's argument: "for the correction of hypoproteinemia recommended transfusion of albumin" sounds at least – incorrect!

In what cases transfusion of albumin is adequate and safe: Albumin should be used mainly in chronic hepatitis that accompanied by hypoalbuminemia, edematous syndrome caused by hypoalbuminemia in the absence of severe intoxication.

Conclusion

To date, there is no standardized and unified technology for the production of commercial albumin. Produced by various pharmaceutical companies albumin has different physical and chemical properties. A study of application of commercial albumin should be conducted either within the same manufacturer or by comparing the specific clinical effect of albumin which was produced by different manufacturers. Unfortunately this approach of analysis is completely absent in the medical literature. Therefore, the experience of using albumin by some clinicians a priori is not a guide for others, and the discussions held on the pages of medical journals, debates at conferences, thoughtless copying of clinical protocols without proper fundamental knowledge, there is scientific ignorance and clinical illiteracy.
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